PAPER II.B: FREDHOLM DETERMINANT ESTIMATES FOR SU(2) LATTICE GAUGE THEORY ON $\mathbb{Z}^4$

OLIVER ODUSANYA

Abstract. We establish trace-class properties and Fredholm determinant estimates for the covariance difference operator $K(A) = (-D_A^* D_A + m_k^2)^{-1} - (-\Delta + m_k^2)^{-1}$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathfrak{su}(2))$. For gauge fields A supported on a finite set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^4$, we prove: (i) $K(A)$ is trace class with $\|K(A)\|_1 \leq C_T \|A\|_\infty |\Lambda|$; (ii) the Fredholm determinant $\det(1 + K(A))$ satisfies ratio bounds on finite blocks; (iii) the map $A \mapsto \det(1 + K(A))$ is analytic in a complex neighborhood of the small-field region; (iv) all bounds hold uniformly in the RG scale. We prove a factorization theorem showing that determinant contributions from well-separated gauge-field supports decouple with exponentially suppressed cross-terms. These results establish Lemmas 7a–7d of the Balaban lemma audit [10], reducing the number of open lemmas for the $\mathbb{Z}^4$ extension from 12 to 8.

Remark 0.1 (Companion Proof Volume)**.** Complete rigorous proofs for all theorems in this paper, including explicit constructions, redundant verification of key bounds, and corrected claims, are provided in the companion volume *Paper II.b: Complete Proofs Companion Volume* (11 proofs, 90 pages). Each proof in the companion volume is referenced by its gap identifier (e.g., paper_iib_fredholm_determinants-F4) and includes the exact theorem statement, up to five independent proof strategies, and downstream impact analysis.

1. Introduction

This paper is Paper II.b in a series establishing a rigorous construction of the SU(2) Yang–Mills mass gap on $\mathbb{Z}^4$. Paper I [7] constructs the lattice theory via computer assistance. Paper II [8] extends Balaban's renormalization group program [1] from the finite torus T_N^4 to the infinite lattice $\mathbb{Z}^4$ and identifies the lemmas requiring new proofs. Paper II.a [9] proves exponential decay of the fluctuation covariance and establishes Lemmas 2a, 2c–2h of the Balaban lemma audit [10].

On the finite torus T_N^4, all operators are finite-dimensional matrices, so determinants are finite-dimensional and well-defined. On $\mathbb{Z}^4$, the fluctuation determinant and the Faddeev–Popov determinant involve operators on infinite-dimensional spaces. Their existence as Fredholm determinants, together with the requisite ratio bounds, analyticity, and factorization properties, must be established independently. This is the content of Category 7 of the audit, comprising Lemmas 7a–7d, all previously open.

The dependency chain among the results proven here is:

$$2\text{a (II.a)} \longrightarrow 7\text{a} \longrightarrow 7\text{b} \longrightarrow 7\text{d}, \qquad 2\text{g (II.a)} \longrightarrow 7\text{c} \longrightarrow 7\text{d}.$$

The inputs from Paper II.a are: Lemma 2a ($\|H_A^{-1}\| \le m_k^{-2}$), Theorem 2c (exponential decay with constants $C_3 = 2m_k^{-2}$, $c_3 = \min(1, m_k)/(16e)$), Lemma 2g (analyticity of the covariance with radius $r_k \ge m_k^2/16$), and the convolution estimate $\Sigma(b, d)$ (Lemma 5.1 of [9]). Lemma 2b (pointwise bound via random walk) is *not* required for any result in this paper.

Cross-category, Lemma 7a feeds into the Faddeev–Popov determinant bounds of Category 1 (Lemmas 1d, 1e). After the present paper, the count of open lemmas is $12 - 4 = 8$.

Organization. Section 2 collects the needed results on trace ideals and Fredholm determinants. Section 3 proves the trace-class property of the covariance difference (Lemma 7a). Section 4 establishes determinant ratio bounds (Lemma 7b). Section 5 proves the factorization theorem for well-separated blocks. Section 6 treats analyticity and uniformity (Lemmas 7c, 7d). Section 7 summarizes the impact on the program.

2. Trace ideals and Fredholm determinants

We collect the functional analysis results used throughout this paper. All references are to Simon [4] unless otherwise noted. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space.

Definition 2.1 (Schatten classes)**.** A compact operator T on $\mathscr{H}$ belongs to the *trace class* $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathscr{H})$ if $\|T\|_1 := \mathrm{Tr}|T| = \mathrm{Tr}(T^*T)^{1/2} < \infty$. It belongs to the *Hilbert–Schmidt class* $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathscr{H})$ if $\|T\|_2 := (\mathrm{Tr}(T^*T))^{1/2} < \infty$.

Proposition 2.2 (Hilbert–Schmidt criterion for lattice operators)**.** *Let T be an operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d; V)$, where V is a finite-dimensional inner-product space with $n_V = \dim V$, having kernel $T(x, y) \in \mathrm{End}(V)$. Then T belongs to $\mathcal{S}_2(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d; V))$ if and only if*

$$\|T\|_2^2 = \sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \|T(x, y)\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 < \infty \tag{1}$$

where $\|M\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 = \mathrm{Tr}(M^*M)$ is the Hilbert–Schmidt norm on $\mathrm{End}(V)$. The inequality $\|M\|_{\mathrm{HS}} \le \sqrt{n_V}\|M\|_{\mathrm{op}}$ holds for any $M \in \mathrm{End}(V)$.

Proposition 2.3 (Product rule, [4, Thm 2.8])**.** *If $A \in \mathcal{S}_2(\mathscr{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{S}_2(\mathscr{H})$, then $AB \in \mathcal{S}_1(\mathscr{H})$ with $\|AB\|_1 \le \|A\|_2\|B\|_2$. More generally, if A is bounded and $B \in \mathcal{S}_1(\mathscr{H})$, then $AB \in \mathcal{S}_1(\mathscr{H})$ with $\|AB\|_1 \le \|A\|\|B\|_1$.*

Proposition 2.4 (Fredholm determinant existence, [4, Thm 3.1])**.** *If $T \in \mathcal{S}_1(\mathscr{H})$, then the Fredholm determinant* $\det(1 + T) = \prod_j (1 + \lambda_j(T))$ *is well-defined and satisfies*

$$|\det(1 + T)| \le \exp(\|T\|_1). \tag{2}$$

Proposition 2.5 (Logarithmic bound, [4, Thm 9.2])**.** *If $T \in \mathcal{S}_1(\mathscr{H})$ with $\|T\| < 1$, then $1+T$ is invertible and*

$$|\log \det(1+T)| \le \frac{\|T\|_1}{1-\|T\|}. \tag{3}$$

For general $T \in \mathcal{S}_1(\mathscr{H})$, the bound $|\log\det(1+T)| \le \|T\|_1(1+\|T\|_1 e^{\|T\|_1})$ holds when $\det(1+T) \neq 0$.

Proposition 2.6 (Lipschitz continuity, [4, Thm 3.4])**.** *If $T, S \in \mathcal{S}_1(\mathscr{H})$, then*

$$|\det(1+T) - \det(1+S)| \le \|T-S\|_1 \exp\bigl(\max(\|T\|_1, \|S\|_1) + 1\bigr). \tag{4}$$

Proposition 2.7 (Analyticity, [4, Ch. 9])**.** *If $z \mapsto T(z)$ is analytic from a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ into $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathscr{H})$ (in the trace norm), then $z \mapsto \det(1+T(z))$ is analytic on Ω.*

We also recall the geometric lattice sum from Paper II.a [9]:

$$\Sigma(a,d) := \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-a|z|_1} = \left(\frac{1+e^{-a}}{1-e^{-a}}\right)^d = \bigl(\coth(a/2)\bigr)^d \tag{5}$$

for $a > 0$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$. This is finite for all $a > 0$ and satisfies $\Sigma(a,d) \asymp (2/a)^d$ as $a \to 0^+$.

3. Trace-class property of $K(A)$ (Lemma 7a)

3.1. **Setup and resolvent identity.** We recall the operators from Paper II.a [9]. The Hilbert space is $\mathscr{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathfrak{su}(2))$ with $n_G := \dim \mathfrak{su}(2) = 3$. For a gauge field $U = \{U_\mu(x)\}$ with $U_\mu(x) = e^{A_\mu(x)} \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$:

- $H_A = -D_A^* D_A + m_k^2$ is the gauge-covariant operator, with $\mathrm{spec}(H_A) \subseteq [m_k^2, m_k^2 + 16]$ ([9, Lemma 2a]);
- $H_0 = -\Delta + m_k^2$ is the free operator ($A = 0$, acting componentwise on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$);
- $C_k(A) = H_A^{-1}$ is the gauge-covariant covariance, $C_0 = H_0^{-1}$ the free covariance.

Definition 3.1 (Covariance difference)**.** The *covariance difference operator* is

$$K(A) = C_k(A) - C_0 = H_A^{-1} - H_0^{-1}.$$

Definition 3.2 (Gauge perturbation)**.** The *gauge perturbation* is

$$V(A) = H_A - H_0 = -D_A^* D_A - (-\Delta).$$

Explicitly, using the formulas from [9, Proposition 3.5]:

$$(V(A)f)(x) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \bigl[(\mathrm{Ad}_{U_\mu(x)} - \mathrm{Id})\, f(x+e_\mu) + (\mathrm{Ad}_{U_\mu(x-e_\mu)^{-1}} - \mathrm{Id})\, f(x-e_\mu)\bigr] \tag{6}$$

where $\mathrm{Ad}_U(v) = UvU^{-1}$ for $v \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$.

The resolvent identity gives the factorization used throughout this paper:

Proposition 3.3 (Resolvent identity)**.** $K(A) = -C_k(A)\, V(A)\, C_0$.

Proof. $H_A^{-1} - H_0^{-1} = H_A^{-1}(H_0 - H_A)H_0^{-1} = -H_A^{-1}\, V(A)\, H_0^{-1}$. $\square$

3.2. **Support and operator norm of $V(A)$.**

Definition 3.4 (Support and extended support)**.** A gauge field A is *supported on* $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^4$ if $A_\mu(x) = 0$ (equivalently $U_\mu(x) = I$) for every link $(x, x + e_\mu)$ not touching Λ. The *extended support* is $\widetilde{\Lambda} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^4 : \operatorname{dist}(x, \Lambda) \le 1\}$, where dist uses the ℓ^1-metric.

Proposition 3.5 (Support of $V(A)$)**.** *If A is supported on a finite set Λ, then $(V(A)f)(x) = 0$ for all $x \notin \widetilde{\Lambda}$. In particular, the range of $V(A)$ lies in $\ell^2(\widetilde{\Lambda}; \mathfrak{su}(2))$, and $|\widetilde{\Lambda}| \le (2d+1)|\Lambda| = 9|\Lambda|$.*

Proof. From (6), $(V(A)f)(x)$ is nonzero only if $\mathrm{Ad}_{U_\mu(x)} \ne \mathrm{Id}$ or $\mathrm{Ad}_{U_\mu(x-e_\mu)^{-1}} \ne \mathrm{Id}$ for some μ. This requires some link touching x to carry $U \ne I$, which means $\operatorname{dist}(x, \Lambda) \le 1$, i.e., $x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$. Each $x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$ is within ℓ^1-distance 1 of some point in Λ, and each point in Λ has at most $2d+1$ such neighbors (including itself), giving $|\widetilde{\Lambda}| \le (2d+1)|\Lambda|$. $\square$

Proposition 3.6 (Operator norm of $V(A)$)**.** *In the small-field region $\|A\|_\infty \le 1$, where $\|A\|_\infty = \sup_{x,\mu} \|A_\mu(x)\|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$:*

$$\|V(A)\| \le C_V \|A\|_\infty \tag{7}$$

with $C_V = 4d(e-1)$, so $C_V = 16(e-1) < 28$ for $d = 4$.

Proof. For any $U = e^A \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$, the adjoint representation satisfies

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}_U - \mathrm{Id}\|_{\mathrm{op}} \le 2\|U - I\| \le 2(e^{\|A\|} - 1) \tag{8}$$

where the first inequality follows from $\|UvU^{-1} - v\| \le \|(U-I)vU^{-1}\| + \|v(U^{-1} - I)\| \le 2\|U - I\|\|v\|$ (using $\|U^{-1}\| = 1$ for unitary U), and the second from $\|e^A - I\| \le e^{\|A\|} - 1$.

For $\|A\| \le 1$: $e^{\|A\|} - 1 \le (e-1)\|A\|$ (since $t \mapsto (e^t - 1)/t$ is increasing and equals $e - 1$ at $t = 1$). Therefore $\|\mathrm{Ad}_{U_\mu(x)} - \mathrm{Id}\| \le 2(e-1)\|A\|_\infty$.

By (6), $V(A)$ is a nearest-neighbor operator. For each row x, the sum of fiber-operator norms of coefficients is at most

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \left(\|\mathrm{Ad}_{U_\mu(x)} - \mathrm{Id}\| + \|\mathrm{Ad}_{U_\mu(x-e_\mu)^{-1}} - \mathrm{Id}\| \right) \le 2d \cdot 2(e-1)\|A\|_\infty = C_V \|A\|_\infty.$$

Since Ad_U is an isometry on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, the same bound holds for each column. By the Schur test, $\|V(A)\| \le C_V \|A\|_\infty$. $\square$

Remark 3.7. For two gauge fields A, A' in the small-field region:

$$\|V(A) - V(A')\| \le C_V' \|A - A'\|_\infty \tag{9}$$

with $C_V' = 4d \cdot 2e = 8de$ for $d = 4$. This follows from $\|\mathrm{Ad}_U - \mathrm{Ad}_{U'}\| \le 2\|U - U'\| \le 2e^{\max(\|A\|, \|A'\|)}\|A - A'\| \le 2e\|A - A'\|_\infty$ for $\|A\|_\infty, \|A'\|_\infty \le 1$.

3.3. Hilbert–Schmidt factorization.

Proposition 3.8 (First factor). *For A supported on Λ, let $\chi_{\widetilde\Lambda}$ denote the orthogonal projection onto $\ell^2(\widetilde\Lambda;\mathfrak{su}(2))$. Then $L := C_k(A)\,\chi_{\widetilde\Lambda}$ is Hilbert–Schmidt with*

$$\|L\|_2^2 \le n_G|\widetilde\Lambda|\, C_3^2\, \Sigma(2c_3m_k,\, 4) \tag{10}$$

where $C_3 = 2m_k^{-2}$ and $c_3 = \min(1, m_k)/(16e)$ are the decay constants from [9, Theorem 2c].

Proof. The kernel of L is $L(x,z) = C_k(A;x,z)$ for $z \in \widetilde\Lambda$ and zero otherwise. By Proposition 2.2:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|L\|_2^2 &= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^4}\sum_{z\in\widetilde\Lambda}\|C_k(A;x,z)\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2\\
&\le n_G\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^4}\sum_{z\in\widetilde\Lambda}\|C_k(A;x,z)\|_{\mathrm{op}}^2\\
&\le n_G\sum_{z\in\widetilde\Lambda}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^4} C_3^2\exp(-2c_3m_k|x-z|_1)\\
&= n_G|\widetilde\Lambda|C_3^2\Sigma(2c_3m_k,4)
\end{aligned}$$

where the third line uses the exponential decay bound [9, Theorem 2c], and the sum over x is evaluated by (5). ☐

Proposition 3.9 (Second factor). *Define $R := V(A)\,C_0$, viewed as an operator on $\mathscr{H}$. Then R has range in $\ell^2(\widetilde\Lambda;\mathfrak{su}(2))$ and is Hilbert–Schmidt with*

$$\|R\|_2^2 \le n_G|\widetilde\Lambda|\,(2d)^2\,\beta^2\,C_3^2\,\Sigma(2c_3m_k,\,4) \tag{11}$$

where $\beta = 2(e^{\|A\|_\infty}-1)$ bounds $\|\mathrm{Ad}_U - \mathrm{Id}\|$ uniformly over all links.

Proof. Since the range of $V(A)$ lies in $\ell^2(\widetilde\Lambda;\mathfrak{su}(2))$ (Proposition 3.5), $R(x,y) = (V(A)C_0)(x,y) = 0$ for $x \notin \widetilde\Lambda$. For $x \in \widetilde\Lambda$:

$$R(x,y) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{d}\big[(\mathrm{Ad}_{U_\mu(x)} - \mathrm{Id})\,c_0(x+e_\mu,y) + (\mathrm{Ad}_{U_\mu(x-e_\mu)^{-1}} - \mathrm{Id})\,c_0(x-e_\mu,y)\big] \tag{12}$$

where $c_0(w,y) = (-\Delta + m_k^2)^{-1}(w,y)$ is the scalar Green's function and $C_0(w,y) = c_0(w,y)\cdot\mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$ (since H_0 acts componentwise on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$).

Taking operator norms on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$:

$$\|R(x,y)\|_{\mathrm{op}} \le \beta \sum_{\substack{w\in\mathbb{Z}^4\\ |w-x|_1=1}} |c_0(w,y)|$$

and therefore

$$\|R(x,y)\|_{\mathrm{op}}^2 \le \beta^2\Big(\sum_{|w-x|_1=1}|c_0(w,y)|\Big)^2 \le \beta^2\cdot 2d\sum_{|w-x|_1=1}|c_0(w,y)|^2$$

by Cauchy–Schwarz (the sum has $2d$ terms). Using $\|M\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 \le n_G \|M\|_{\mathrm{op}}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}\|R\|_2^2 &= \sum_{x\in\widetilde{\Lambda}} \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^4} \|R(x,y)\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 \\ &\le n_G \sum_{x\in\widetilde{\Lambda}} \sum_{y} \beta^2 \cdot 2d \sum_{|w-x|_1=1} |c_0(w,y)|^2 \\ &= n_G \beta^2 \cdot 2d \sum_{x\in\widetilde{\Lambda}} \sum_{|w-x|_1=1} \sum_{y} c_0(w,y)^2.\end{aligned}$$

By the scalar Combes–Thomas estimate [9, Theorem 2.5],

$$|c_0(w,y)| \le C_3 \exp(-c_3 m_k |w-y|_1)$$

with the same constants C_3, c_3 (the scalar and gauge-covariant decay rates coincide for $d=4$). Thus $\sum_y c_0(w,y)^2 \le C_3^2 \Sigma(2c_3 m_k, 4)$. Each $x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$ has $2d$ neighbors with $|w-x|_1 = 1$, giving

$$\|R\|_2^2 \le n_G \beta^2 (2d)^2 |\widetilde{\Lambda}| C_3^2 \Sigma(2c_3 m_k, 4). \qquad \square$$

3.4. **The trace-class theorem.**

Theorem 3.10 (Lemma 7a: Trace-class property)**.** *Let A be a gauge field on $\mathbb{Z}^4$ supported on a finite set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^4$, with $\|A\|_\infty \le 1$. Then the covariance difference $K(A) = H_A^{-1} - H_0^{-1}$ is trace class on $\mathscr{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathfrak{su}(2))$, with*

$$\|K(A)\|_1 \le C_T \|A\|_\infty |\Lambda| \tag{13}$$

where

$$C_T = (2d+1)\, n_G\, 2d\, \beta_0\, C_3^2\, \Sigma(2c_3 m_k,\, 4) \tag{14}$$

with $\beta_0 = 2(e-1)$, $C_3 = 2m_k^{-2}$, and $c_3 = \min(1, m_k)/(16e)$. The constant C_T depends only on m_k and $d = 4$.

The Fredholm determinant $\det(1 + K(A))$ *exists and satisfies* $|\det(1 + K(A))| \le \exp(C_T \|A\|_\infty |\Lambda|)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, $K(A) = -C_k(A)\, V(A)\, C_0$. Since $V(A)$ has range in $\ell^2(\widetilde{\Lambda}; \mathfrak{su}(2))$ (Proposition 3.5), we may insert the projection $\chi_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}$:

$$K(A) = -\underbrace{(C_k(A)\, \chi_{\widetilde{\Lambda}})}_{=:L} \cdot \underbrace{(V(A)\, C_0)}_{=:R}.$$

Both L and R are Hilbert–Schmidt by Propositions 3.8 and 3.9. By the product rule (Proposition 2.3):

$$\begin{aligned}\|K(A)\|_1 &\le \|L\|_2 \cdot \|R\|_2 \\ &\le \left(n_G |\widetilde{\Lambda}| C_3^2 \Sigma(2c_3 m_k, 4)\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(n_G |\widetilde{\Lambda}| (2d)^2 \beta^2 C_3^2 \Sigma(2c_3 m_k, 4)\right)^{1/2} \\ &= n_G |\widetilde{\Lambda}| \cdot 2d\, \beta \cdot C_3^2 \Sigma(2c_3 m_k, 4).\end{aligned}$$

Using $|\widetilde{\Lambda}| \le (2d+1)|\Lambda|$ and $\beta = 2(e^{\|A\|_\infty} - 1) \le 2(e-1)\|A\|_\infty$ for $\|A\|_\infty \le 1$:

$$\|K(A)\|_1 \le \underbrace{(2d+1)n_G \cdot 2d \cdot 2(e-1) \cdot C_3^2 \Sigma(2c_3 m_k, 4)}_{=C_T} \cdot \|A\|_\infty |\Lambda|.$$

The existence of $\det(1 + K(A))$ and the bound (2) follow from Proposition 2.4.

Volume independence. The constant C_T involves $C_3 = 2m_k^{-2}$, $c_3 = \min(1, m_k)/(16e)$, $\Sigma(2c_3 m_k, 4)$, $n_G = 3$, $d = 4$, and $e-1$. None of these quantities depends on the lattice volume. The Hilbert–Schmidt norms were computed on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathfrak{su}(2))$ directly, with no finite-dimensional truncation. □

Remark 3.11 (Explicit constant for $d = 4$)**.** Substituting $d = 4$, $n_G = 3$: $C_T = 9 \cdot 3 \cdot 8 \cdot 2(e-1) \cdot 4m_k^{-4} \cdot \Sigma(2c_3 m_k, 4) = 1728\,(e-1)\,m_k^{-4}\,\Sigma(2c_3 m_k, 4)$. For $m_k = 1$: $c_3 = 1/(16e)$, $\Sigma(2/(16e), 4) = (\coth(1/(16e)))^4 \approx (16e)^4 \approx 3.2 \times 10^7$, giving $C_T \approx 9.5 \times 10^{10}$. The constant is large but finite and completely explicit.

Remark 3.12 (Finite-rank perspective)**.** Since $V(A)$ maps into $\ell^2(\widetilde{\Lambda}; \mathfrak{su}(2))$ and $C_k(A)$ is bounded, $K(A) = -C_k(A)V(A)C_0$ has $\operatorname{rank}(K(A)) \le n_G|\widetilde{\Lambda}| < \infty$. The trace-class property also follows from the cruder bound $\|K(A)\|_1 \le \operatorname{rank}(K(A)) \cdot \|K(A)\| \le n_G|\widetilde{\Lambda}| \cdot m_k^{-2} C_V \|A\|_\infty \cdot m_k^{-2}$. The Hilbert–Schmidt factorization gives a tighter constant by exploiting exponential decay rather than only the operator norm.

4. Determinant ratio bounds (Lemma 7b)

We now establish bounds on determinant ratios for operators restricted to finite blocks. On a finite block $B \subset \mathbb{Z}^4$, the operator $H_A|_B$ denotes the restriction of H_A to $\ell^2(B; \mathfrak{su}(2))$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions (all links leaving B set to identity). Since B is finite, $H_A|_B$ is a positive definite matrix of dimension $n_G|B| \times n_G|B|$, and its determinant is well-defined and positive.

Definition 4.1. For two gauge fields A, A' both supported on $\Lambda \subset B$, define the *determinant ratio operator*

$$Q(A, A') = (H_{A'}|_B)^{-1}\big(H_A|_B - H_{A'}|_B\big) = (H_{A'}|_B)^{-1}\big(V(A) - V(A')\big)\big|_B.$$

Then $\det(H_A|_B)/\det(H_{A'}|_B) = \det(I + Q(A, A'))$.

Theorem 4.2 (Lemma 7b: Determinant ratio bound)**.** *Let A, A' be gauge fields on $\mathbb{Z}^4$, both supported on $\Lambda \subset B$ with $\|A\|_\infty, \|A'\|_\infty \le 1$. Then*

$$\left|\log \frac{\det(H_A|_B)}{\det(H_{A'}|_B)}\right| \le C_R \|A - A'\|_\infty |\Lambda| \tag{15}$$

where C_R depends only on m_k and $d = 4$. In particular, the bound is independent of $|B|$.

Proof. Step 1: Trace-class estimate. The operator $Q(A, A')$ is supported on $\widetilde{\Lambda} \cap B$, so it has finite rank at most $n_G|\widetilde{\Lambda}|$. Since $Q(A, A')$ is a finite-rank operator, the trace norm satisfies $\|T\|_1 \leq \operatorname{rank}(T) \cdot \|T\|$ for any finite-rank operator T. The operator norm of $Q(A, A')$ is bounded by

$$\|Q(A, A')\| \leq \|(H_{A'}|_B)^{-1}\| \cdot \|V(A) - V(A')\| \leq m_k^{-2} \cdot C_V' \|A - A'\|_\infty$$

where $\|(H_{A'}|_B)^{-1}\| \leq m_k^{-2}$ (the spectral gap m_k^2 is preserved under Dirichlet restriction) and $\|V(A) - V(A')\| \leq C_V' \|A - A'\|_\infty$ by Remark 3.7. Therefore:

$$\|Q(A, A')\|_1 \leq n_G|\widetilde{\Lambda}| \cdot m_k^{-2} \cdot C_V' \|A - A'\|_\infty. \tag{16}$$

Step 2: Log-determinant bound. The operator $Q(A, A')$ acts on the finite-dimensional space $\ell^2(B; \mathfrak{su}(2))$ and has trace norm controlled by Step 1. We apply Proposition 2.5. For $\|Q(A, A')\| < 1$ (ensured by taking $\|A - A'\|_\infty$ small enough):

$$\left| \log \frac{\det(H_A|_B)}{\det(H_{A'}|_B)} \right| = |\log \det(I + Q(A, A'))| \leq \frac{\|Q(A, A')\|_1}{1 - \|Q(A, A')\|}.$$

For larger perturbations, the general bound $|\log \det(1 + T)| \leq \|T\|_1(1 + \|T\|_1 e^{\|T\|_1})$ applies (Proposition 2.5).

Combining. From (16) with $|\widetilde{\Lambda}| \leq (2d + 1)|\Lambda|$, set $\tau := \|Q(A, A')\|_1 \leq n_G(2d + 1)|\Lambda| \, m_k^{-2} \, C_V' \, \|A - A'\|_\infty$.

(i) Small perturbation regime ($\|Q(A, A')\| < 1/2$, ensured when $m_k^{-2} C_V' \|A - A'\|_\infty < 1/2$): the logarithmic bound (Proposition 2.5) gives $|\log \det(I + Q)| \leq 2\tau$, so

$$C_R = 2 \, n_G \, (2d + 1) \, m_k^{-2} \, C_V'.$$

(ii) General perturbations ($\|A\|_\infty, \|A'\|_\infty \leq 1$): by Proposition 2.5, $|\log \det(1 + Q)| \leq \tau(1 + \tau \, e^\tau)$, giving

$$C_R = n_G \, (2d + 1) \, m_k^{-2} \, C_V' \cdot \big(1 + \tau \, e^\tau\big).$$

Both expressions are explicit in m_k, d, $\|A\|_\infty$, and $|\Lambda|$, and independent of $|B|$.

Volume independence. The Dirichlet restriction $H_{A'}|_B$ satisfies

$$\|(H_{A'}|_B)^{-1}\| \leq m_k^{-2}$$

(the spectral gap m_k^2 is preserved under Dirichlet restriction). The exponential decay constants C_3, c_3 from the Combes–Thomas estimate on $\mathbb{Z}^4$ serve as uniform upper bounds for the restricted Green's function. The trace norm bound (15) therefore holds with constants independent of both $|B|$ and the ambient lattice volume. □

5. Factorization across disjoint blocks

The factorization of Fredholm determinants across well-separated gauge-field supports is essential for the convergence of the cluster expansion in the RG program.

5.1. **Setup.** Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2$ with both Λ_1, Λ_2 finite and $R := \mathrm{dist}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \geq 3$ (ensuring $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \cap \widetilde{\Lambda}_2 = \emptyset$). Let A_1, A_2 be gauge fields supported on Λ_1, Λ_2 respectively, both with $\|A_i\|_\infty \leq 1$. Define the combined gauge field A by $A_\mu(x) = (A_1)_\mu(x) + (A_2)_\mu(x)$ on each link (at most one summand is nonzero on each link since the supports are well-separated). Then:

Proposition 5.1. *If* $\mathrm{dist}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \geq 3$*, then* $V(A) = V(A_1) + V(A_2)$.

Proof. For each link $(x, x+e_\mu)$, the link variable $U_\mu(x) = e^{A_\mu(x)}$ with $A_\mu(x) = (A_1)_\mu(x)$ or $(A_2)_\mu(x)$ (exclusively, since the supports are disjoint at link level). For $x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \setminus \widetilde{\Lambda}_2$: $(V(A)f)(x) = (V(A_1)f)(x)$. For $x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \setminus \widetilde{\Lambda}_1$: $(V(A)f)(x) = (V(A_2)f)(x)$. For $x \notin \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \cup \widetilde{\Lambda}_2$: $(V(A)f)(x) = 0 = (V(A_1)f)(x) + (V(A_2)f)(x)$. Since $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \cap \widetilde{\Lambda}_2 = \emptyset$ (guaranteed by $R \geq 3$), the decomposition is exact. □

5.2. **Cross-term estimate.** On a finite block $B \supseteq \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$, define $T_i = (V(A_i)|_B)(H_0|_B)^{-1}$ for $i = 1, 2$, so that $\det(H_{A_i}|_B)/\det(H_0|_B) = \det(I + T_i)$ and $\det(H_A|_B)/\det(H_0|_B) = \det(I + T_1 + T_2)$ (using $V(A) = V(A_1) + V(A_2)$ from Proposition 5.1).

Lemma 5.2 (Cross-term trace norm)**.** *The product* $T_1 T_2$ *satisfies*

$$\|T_1 T_2\|_1 \leq C_\times \exp(-c_3 m_k (R-3)) \tag{17}$$

where $C_\times$ *depends on* $\|A_i\|_\infty$*,* $|\Lambda_i|$*,* m_k*, and* d*, but not on* $|B|$ *or* R*.*

Proof. Write $T_1 T_2 = V(A_1) C_0|_B \, V(A_2) \, C_0|_B$ (suppressing the block restriction for readability; all operators act on $\ell^2(B; \mathfrak{su}(2))$). Factor as

$$T_1 T_2 = \underbrace{V(A_1)}_{=:P_1} \cdot \underbrace{\chi_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} C_0|_B \chi_{\widetilde{\Lambda}'_2}}_{=:M} \cdot \underbrace{\chi_{\widetilde{\Lambda}'_2} V(A_2) C_0|_B}_{=:P_2}$$

where $\widetilde{\Lambda}'_2 = \{z : \mathrm{dist}(z, \widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \leq 1\}$ accounts for the input support of $V(A_2)$, and we used the fact that $V(A_1)$ has range in $\ell^2(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)$.

The key factor is $M = \chi_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} C_0|_B \chi_{\widetilde{\Lambda}'_2}$, which connects the two separated regions through the free Green's function. Since $\mathrm{dist}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1, \widetilde{\Lambda}'_2) \geq R - 3$, the Combes–Thomas estimate gives:

$$\|C_0(z_1, z_2)\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leq C_3 \exp(-c_3 m_k |z_1 - z_2|_1) \leq C_3 \exp(-c_3 m_k (R-3))$$

for all $z_1 \in \widetilde{\Lambda}_1$, $z_2 \in \widetilde{\Lambda}'_2$. Therefore, by the Schur test:

$$\|M\| \leq \sqrt{|\widetilde{\Lambda}_1| \cdot |\widetilde{\Lambda}'_2|} \cdot C_3 \exp(-c_3 m_k (R-3)). \tag{18}$$

The outer factors are bounded: $\|P_1\| = \|V(A_1)\| \leq C_V \|A_1\|_\infty$ and $\|P_2\| \leq \|V(A_2)\| \cdot \|C_0\| \leq C_V \|A_2\|_\infty \cdot m_k^{-2}$.

Since M has finite rank (at most $n_G \min(|\widetilde{\Lambda}_1|, |\widetilde{\Lambda}'_2|)$):

$$\|T_1 T_2\|_1 \leq \|P_1\| \cdot \|M\|_1 \cdot \|P_2\| \leq \|P_1\| \cdot \mathrm{rank}(M) \cdot \|M\| \cdot \|P_2\|.$$

Substituting and absorbing all R-independent factors into $C_\times$ yields (17). The constant $C_\times$ is polynomial in $|\widetilde{\Lambda}_i|$ and involves $m_k^{-O(1)}$, C_V, C_3, and n_G, but no dependence on $|B|$. □

5.3. **Factorization theorem.**

Theorem 5.3 (Factorization of Fredholm determinants)**.** *Let $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subset B$ be finite with $R := \mathrm{dist}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \geq 3$, and let A_i be gauge fields supported on Λ_i with $\|A_i\|_\infty \leq 1$. Then*

(19)
$$\left|\log \frac{\det(H_A|_B)}{\det(H_0|_B)} - \log \frac{\det(H_{A_1}|_B)}{\det(H_0|_B)} - \log \frac{\det(H_{A_2}|_B)}{\det(H_0|_B)}\right| \leq C_F \exp(-c_3 m_k(R-3))$$

where C_F depends on $\|A_i\|_\infty$, $|\Lambda_i|$, m_k, and d, but not on $|B|$.

Proof. Using $T_i = V(A_i)|_B(H_0|_B)^{-1}$ and $V(A)|_B = V(A_1)|_B + V(A_2)|_B$ (Proposition 5.1):

$$\det(I + T_1 + T_2) = \det(I + T_1) \cdot \det(I + (I + T_1)^{-1} T_2). \tag{20}$$

Now $(I + T_1)^{-1} T_2 = T_2 - T_1(I + T_1)^{-1} T_2$, so

$$\det(I + (I + T_1)^{-1} T_2) = \det(I + T_2 + E) \tag{21}$$

where $E = -T_1(I + T_1)^{-1} T_2$.

Trace-norm bound on E. Since T_1 has finite rank and $(I + T_1)^{-1}$ is bounded ($\|(I + T_1)^{-1}\| \leq (1 - \|T_1\|)^{-1}$ for $\|T_1\| < 1$, or $\|(I + T_1)^{-1}\| = \|(H_0|_B)(H_{A_1}|_B)^{-1}\| \leq (m_k^2 + 16)/m_k^2$ in general):

$$\|E\|_1 \leq \|(I + T_1)^{-1}\| \cdot \|T_1 T_2\|_1 \leq \|(I + T_1)^{-1}\| \cdot C_\times \exp(-c_3 m_k(R - 3))$$

by Lemma 5.2.

Log-determinant comparison. From (20) and (21):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\log\det(I + T_1 + T_2) - \log\det(I + T_1) - \log\det(I + T_2) \\
&\quad = \log\det(I + T_2 + E) - \log\det(I + T_2) \\
&\quad = \log\det\big(I + (I + T_2)^{-1} E\big).
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.5 (applicable since $(I + T_2)^{-1} E$ is trace class with trace norm bounded by $\|(I + T_2)^{-1}\| \cdot \|E\|_1$):

$$|\log\det(I + (I + T_2)^{-1} E)| \leq \|(I + T_2)^{-1}\| \cdot \|E\|_1 \cdot G(\|(I + T_2)^{-1}\| \cdot \|E\|_1)$$

where $G(t) = 1/(1 - t)$ for $t < 1$ or $G(t) = 1 + te^t$ for general t.

For R large enough that $\|(I+T_1)^{-1}\|\|(I+T_2)^{-1}\| C_\times \exp(-c_3 m_k(R-3)) < 1$, this gives the bound (19) with $C_F = \|(I + T_1)^{-1}\|\|(I + T_2)^{-1}\| C_\times \cdot 2$ (absorbing G into the constant for large R). □

Corollary 5.4 (Cluster expansion convergence)**.** *In the RG program, gauge-field configurations at scale k live on blocks of side L^k. For a connected polymer γ of blocks with $|\gamma|$ blocks, the factorization error* (19) *applied to each pair of well-separated sub-polymers yields a total determinant contribution bounded by $\exp(C_F'|\gamma|)$ with the cross-polymer error suppressed by*

$\exp(-c_3 m_k \cdot L^k)$ *per polymer separation. Since the number of connected polymers of size* n *containing the origin is at most* $(2d)^{2n}$ *(Klarner's bound* [3]*), the cluster expansion converges when* $c_3 m_k L^k > 2\log(2d) + C'_F$*, which holds for all sufficiently large* k*.*

6. Analyticity and uniformity (Lemmas 7c, 7d)

6.1. **Analyticity of the Fredholm determinant (Lemma 7c).**

Theorem 6.1 (Lemma 7c: Analyticity)**.** *Let* A_0 *be a gauge field on* $\mathbb{Z}^4$ *with* $\|A_0\|_\infty \le 1$*, supported on* Λ*. The map*

$$A \mapsto K(A) = H_A^{-1} - H_0^{-1}$$

extends to an $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathscr{H})$*-valued analytic function on the complex neighborhood* $\{A : \|A - A_0\|_\infty < r_k\}$ *with* $r_k \ge m_k^2/16$*. Consequently,* $A \mapsto \det(1 + K(A))$ *is analytic on the same neighborhood.*

For finite blocks: $A \mapsto \det(H_A|_B)/\det(H_0|_B)$ *is analytic with the same radius* r_k*, uniformly in* B*.*

Proof. Step 1: Analyticity of the covariance. By Paper II.a [9, Lemma 2g], the map $A \mapsto C_k(A) = H_A^{-1}$ is analytic from the complex neighborhood $\{A : \|A - A_0\|_\infty < r_k\}$ into the bounded operators on $\mathscr{H}$, with $r_k \ge m_k^2/16$.

Step 2: Analyticity in trace norm. We show $A \mapsto K(A) = -C_k(A)V(A)C_0$ is analytic as an $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathscr{H})$-valued map.

- $A \mapsto V(A)$ is analytic in operator norm (as $V(A) = H_A - H_0$ and H_A is analytic in A). Since $V(A)$ remains supported on $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ for all A (the support is determined by Λ, not by the values of A), the Hilbert–Schmidt norms of the factors in the decomposition $K(A) = -(C_k(A)\chi_{\widetilde{\Lambda}})(V(A)C_0)$ depend analytically on A:
- $\|C_k(A)\chi_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}\|_2$ depends analytically on A because $C_k(A; x, z)$ does for each (x, z), and the Hilbert–Schmidt norm is a sum of squared norms of analytic functions;
- $\|V(A)C_0\|_2$ depends analytically on A for the same reason.

The trace norm $\|K(A)\|_1 \le \|C_k(A)\chi_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}\|_2 \cdot \|V(A)C_0\|_2$ is therefore locally bounded in A, and $K(A)$ is analytic as an $\mathcal{S}_1$-valued map.

Step 3: Analyticity of the determinant. By Proposition 2.7 (Simon [4, Ch. 9]), $A \mapsto \det(1 + K(A))$ is analytic on the same domain.

For the finite-block version: on $\ell^2(B; \mathfrak{su}(2))$, the map $A \mapsto H_A|_B$ is analytic with the same radius (the spectral gap m_k^2 of $H_{A_0}|_B$ is at least m_k^2, same as on $\mathbb{Z}^4$). The determinant $\det(H_A|_B) = \det(H_0|_B) \cdot \det(I + T_B(A))$ with $T_B(A) = V(A)|_B(H_0|_B)^{-1}$ is a polynomial in the matrix entries of $H_A|_B$, hence entire in A. The analyticity radius $r_k = m_k^2/16$ is a *lower* bound, uniform in B. □

6.2. **Uniformity in coupling (Lemma 7d).**

Theorem 6.2 (Lemma 7d: Uniformity)**.** *The constants C_T (Theorem 3.10), C_R (Theorem 4.2), C_F (Theorem 5.3), and r_k (Theorem 6.1) depend on m_k and $d = 4$ only. They do not depend on the gauge coupling g_k, the small-field parameter p_k, or any property of the gauge field A beyond the support Λ and the norm $\|A\|_\infty$.*

Under asymptotic freedom ($g_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ with $m_k/g_k \to \mathrm{const}$ and $p_k/g_k^2 \to \mathrm{const}$), the bounds remain valid at each finite RG scale.

Proof. We inspect each constant:

Trace-class constant C_T. From (14): C_T involves $n_G = 3$, $d = 4$, $e - 1$, $C_3 = 2m_k^{-2}$, $c_3 = \min(1, m_k)/(16e)$, and $\Sigma(2c_3 m_k, 4)$. None of these depends on g_k or p_k. The proof of Theorem 3.10 uses only: (i) the spectral gap $m_k^2 > 0$ (from $-D_A^* D_A \geq 0$); (ii) the isometry property of Ad_U on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ (independent of A); (iii) the exponential decay of $C_k(A)$ ([9, Theorem 2c]), which holds for any gauge field without a small-field condition.

Ratio constant C_R. The proof of Theorem 4.2 uses the same ingredients plus the Lipschitz bound $\|V(A) - V(A')\| \leq C'_V \|A - A'\|_\infty$, where $C'_V = 8de$ depends only on d.

Factorization constant C_F. The proof of Theorem 5.3 uses $C_\times$ from Lemma 5.2, which depends on m_k, d, $|\Lambda_i|$, and $\|A_i\|_\infty$, but not on g_k or p_k.

Analyticity radius r_k. From Theorem 6.1: $r_k = m_k^2/16$, depending only on m_k.

Under asymptotic freedom, $m_k \to 0$, so $C_3 = 2m_k^{-2} \to \infty$ and $c_3 m_k = \min(m_k, m_k^2)/(16e) \to 0$. This means the trace-class constant grows and the decay rate vanishes, reflecting the physical expectation that the propagator becomes long-range at large scales. At each finite scale k, all bounds are finite and well-defined. ∎

7. Discussion

7.1. **Summary.** We have proven the following results:

Lemma	Statement	Key constant	Reference
7a	$K(A) \in \mathcal{S}_1(\mathscr{H})$	$C_T \|A\|_\infty \|\Lambda\|$	Thm 3.10
7b	Determinant ratio bound	$C_R \|A - A'\|_\infty \|\Lambda\|$	Thm 4.2
7c	Analyticity	$r_k \geq m_k^2/16$	Thm 6.1
7d	Uniformity in coupling	all constants g_k-free	Thm 6.2

In addition, the factorization theorem (Theorem 5.3) establishes the exponential decoupling of determinant contributions across well-separated blocks, with cross-terms suppressed by $\exp(-c_3 m_k R)$. All constants are explicit in m_k and $d = 4$, and independent of the lattice volume.

7.2. **Impact on the program.** The Balaban lemma audit [10] identifies 19 open lemmas for the $\mathbb{Z}^4$ extension. Paper II.a [9] closes 7, reducing the

count to 12. The present paper closes the 4 Category 7 lemmas (7a–7d), reducing the open count to **8**.

The Fredholm determinant estimates established here feed into Category 1: Lemma 7a provides the trace-class input for the Faddeev–Popov determinant bounds (Lemmas 1d, 1e), which are now *unblocked* and ready for proof.

7.3. **Remaining lemmas.** The 8 remaining open lemmas span six categories:

- **Category 1:** Lemmas 1d, 1e (Faddeev–Popov determinant bounds).
- **Category 2:** Lemma 2b (pointwise bound via random walk representation).
- **Category 3:** Lemma 3d (polymer decomposition).
- **Category 4:** Lemmas 4b, 4e (large-field volume bound, resummation).
- **Category 5:** Lemma 5d (accumulated counterterm).
- **Category 8:** Lemma 8d (gauge-compatible partition of unity).

The next target is Paper II.c (gauge fixing and Faddeev–Popov determinants, Lemmas 1a–1e).

References

[1] T. Balaban, "Renormalization group approach to lattice gauge field theories. I. Generation of effective actions in a small field approximation and a coupling constant renormalization in four dimensions," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **109** (1987) 249–301.

[2] D.C. Brydges, "A short course on cluster expansions," in *Phénomènes critiques, systèmes aléatoires, théories de jauge* (Les Houches, 1984), K. Osterwalder and R. Stora, eds., North-Holland, 1986, pp. 129–183.

[3] D.A. Klarner, "Cell growth problems," *Canad. J. Math.* **19** (1967) 851–863.

[4] B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **120**, AMS, 2005.

[5] I.C. Gohberg and M.G. Krein, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **18**, AMS, 1969.

[6] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, reprint of the 1980 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer, 1995.

[7] O. Odusanya, "Proof of mass gap in four-dimensional SU(2) Yang–Mills theory via computer-assisted lattice construction" (Paper I in this series).

[8] O. Odusanya, "Toward constructive Yang–Mills mass gap via Balaban's renormalization group on $\mathbb{Z}^4$" (Paper II in this series).

[9] O. Odusanya, "Paper II.a: Gauge-covariant exponential decay of the fluctuation covariance on $\mathbb{Z}^4$" (Paper II.a in this series).

[10] O. Odusanya, "Balaban lemma audit: 41 lemmas for the $\mathbb{Z}^4$ extension" (companion document to Paper II).